



ISSN 2455-7544

www.daathvoyagejournal.com

Daath Voyage : An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies in English

**A Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Indexed & Open Access
Vol.10 No.4 December 2025**

Editor-in-chief: Dr. Saikat Banerjee



www.daathvoyagejournal.com

: An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies in English
(A Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Indexed & Open Access Journal)

ISSN 2455-7544

Vol.10/No.4, December, 2025

The Inheritance of Loneliness: Colonial Trauma and Familial Disintegration in Abdulrazak Gurnah's Narrative Imagination

Cinto Jose

Research Scholar

&

Dr Julian Rigley

Assistant Professor

Sacred Heart College, Thiruppattur

Thiruvalluvar University

Tamil Nadu, India

Received- 11/11/2025, Revised-29/11/2025, Accepted-10/12/2025, Published-31/12/2025

Abstract: Abdulrazak Gurnah, the Nobel Prize winner of 2021, through his nuanced narrative craft, reimagines the historical and political legacies of colonialism as deeply personal experiences of loneliness and familial fragmentation. By translating the broader consequences of empire, displacement and exile into the intimate realms of domestic life, Gurnah reveals how collective histories of oppression persist as emotional and psychological ruptures within individual and family identities. Through novels such as *Gravel Heart*, *By the Sea*, *Afterlives*, and *Paradise*, Gurnah portrays solitude not as an individual emotion but as an inherited condition shaped by displacement, exile, and the erosion of kinship networks. The study argues that loneliness in his fiction operates simultaneously as a psychological response to trauma and as a social inheritance emerging from disrupted family and communal relations. By situating private suffering within the broader context of colonial and postcolonial violence, Gurnah exposes how historical injustices persist in the emotional fabric of domestic life. The analysis draws on postcolonial and psychoanalytic frameworks to trace how silence, absence, and generational fracture become vehicles for the transmission of pain. Ultimately, the paper highlights Gurnah's nuanced ethical vision, in which small gestures of care and recognition gesture toward the possibility of healing amidst enduring historical wounds.

Keywords: Postcolonial Trauma, Loneliness, Family Disintegration, Exile and Displacement, Colonial Legacy, Psychoanalytic Approach, Intergenerational Memory.

Abdulrazak Gurnah's fiction consistently explores the ways in which the traumas of history - particularly those arising from colonial violence and forced displacement - extend into the private and emotional domains of postcolonial life. In novels such as *Gravel Heart*, *By the Sea*, *Afterlives* and *Paradise*, Gurnah portrays loneliness not as a fleeting sentiment but as a transgenerational condition: a lingering imprint



of imperial domination that alters kinship relations, corrodes mutual trust and reshapes the inner worlds of individuals.

The central aim of this paper is to examine how loneliness in Gurnah's narrative universe operates on two interrelated levels—both as a psychological state shaped by personal and historical rupture and as a social inheritance embedded within disrupted familial and communal structures. Reading loneliness as an inheritable aftereffect of colonial violence foregrounds how Gurnah transforms history into private pain, showing that the public politics of domination and dispossession become private forms of abandonment within family life. [The New Yorker+1](#)

Gurnah's attention to small domestic scenes - bedrooms, kitchens, letters and memory-laden objects - anchors his larger historical themes in the texture of family life. In *Gravel Heart*, Salim's childhood and his sense of being unwanted produce a chronic internal solitude that both reflects and refracts the ruptures of his society: a community shaped by shifting allegiances, political violence and the pressures of decolonization. The novel's micro-level focus on Salim's mistrust of his father and his nightmares shows how political upheaval seeps into the home and erodes the trust networks family life depends upon. In staging such scenes, Gurnah refuses to separate social history from private affliction; loneliness is therefore descriptive of character but also diagnostic of a social pathology grounded in colonial and postcolonial dislocations. [Bloomsbury+1](#)

The historical contexts Gurnah dramatizes - German and British colonialism, the slave and plantation economies earlier in East African history and mid-twentieth-century nationalist and revolutionary convulsions - are not merely background. They are formative engines that remap kinship relations. Scholars examining *Afterlives* and *Paradise* have shown how the legacies of enslavement, forced conscription and racialized hierarchies produce forms of social atomization and mistrust that survive independence. These histories appear in the novels not as expository lectures but as the source-material of private griefs: the absence of a parent, the secrets kept to protect family reputations, the migration that splits households across seas. By connecting macro history to intimate loss, Gurnah demonstrates that colonial violence leaves a psychosocial imprint on family life that breeds solitude. [Cambridge University Press & Assessment+1](#)

Loneliness in Gurnah's narratives also stems from the experience of exile and ambivalent belonging in metropolitan centers like London. *By the Sea* - stages the immigrant's interior solitude through Saleh Omar's



haunted history and Latif's ambivalence; the physical removal from home magnifies the emotional distance within families because migration often involves moral compromises, silence and the practical separation of kin. The immigrant family, fractured by borders, labor markets and legal insecurity, becomes an arena where loneliness is reproduced: parents who cannot provide, children who are left behind and elders who witness their descendants remade into other social forms. In such settings, loneliness is not merely emotional but structural, produced by policies and economies that make stable family life difficult to sustain. Critics have emphasized the ways *By the Sea* materializes such psychic and social strain and Gurnah's prose repeatedly turns to small acts of neglect and grace to map how fragile family bonds endure or fail under the weight of exile. [Wikipedia+1](#)

A recurring image in Gurnah's fiction is the "absent father" - a figure who functions symbolically and historically. Whether absent by physical migration, death, desertion, or moral withdrawal, fathers in novels like *Gravel Heart* and *Desertion* are frequently implicated in processes of family disintegration. The absence of paternal authority destabilizes familial hierarchies and leaves children to negotiate identity in insecure, liminal spaces. But Gurnah complicates the simple model of blame: absence often results from colonial exigencies (forced labour, conscription, economic precarity) or from the internalization of colonial shame that leads to withdrawal from relational responsibilities. Thus the father's absence becomes a symptom of larger social dislocations rather than merely a private failing; loneliness is thereby both an outcome and a trace - an index of how political violence circulates into family life. [ijhssm.org+1](#)

The ways in which characters narrate their pasts in Gurnah's novels also exemplify how loneliness is transmitted across generations. Memory is frequently partial, evasive and haunted: stories are told haltingly, secrets are withheld for reasons of protection or shame and younger characters inherit only fragments of family history. This fragmentary transmission produces affective gaps; absence becomes not only physical but epistemic. Salim's lack of a coherent account of his family's past makes him vulnerable to nightmares, fantasies and misreadings; these narrative wounds are the carriers of loneliness, embedding it as a structural feature of identity formation. Critics who read Gurnah through psychoanalytic or trauma frameworks have argued convincingly that the novels stage this epistemic loneliness as the working material of postcolonial melancholia. [UNAI Journal+1](#)



Gurnah's style which is restrained, observant and often understated - amplifies the ethical urgency of this thematic preoccupation. The restraint is not emotional distance for its own sake; rather, it models the very silences that are thematised: the unspoken hurt, the reticence borne of cultural shame and the social constraints around public confession. In scenes where parents refuse to speak about the past or where children misread the motives of elders, the form of the prose mimics the emotional logics of loneliness. Gurnah's narrative economy renders solitude visible by refusing melodrama and instead attending to the slow accrual of small violences - a missed visit, a withheld letter and a glance that does not return. This stylistic choice makes loneliness in his fiction palpably ethical: readers must lean into the quiet to apprehend how colonial histories have colonized feeling itself. [The New Yorker](#)

Several international scholarly articles and journalistic responses to Gurnah's post-Nobel reception have foregrounded the connection between historical wrongs and contemporary familial instability. For instance, analyses of *Afterlives* stress the book's excavation of how colonial conscriptions and wartime displacements continue to ripple through family memories and obligations. Similarly, critical readings of *By the Sea* underscore the psychosocial costs of migration - particularly how legal precariousness and social marginalization in the metropole translate into emotional isolation for whole families. These secondary accounts are important because they corroborate a central interpretive claim: the familial crisis in Gurnah's fiction is not reducible to domesticity but is legible as a lasting, distributable effect of empire. [Cambridge University Press & Assessment+1](#)

It is also necessary to underline how gender and generational hierarchies mediate loneliness in Gurnah's world. Women often absorb the emotional labor of survival: holding fragmented households together, carrying the burden of shame and secrecy and enduring forms of intimate violence that are rarely redressed. Yet the cost of such labor is loneliness that is both invisible and inescapable. Men's solitude, by contrast, often takes the form of brittle pride or subdued aggression, shaped by limited economic opportunities and the humiliation of racialized subordination. Intergenerational tensions - for example, conflicts over migration strategies or cultural assimilation further deepen familial estrangement. Therefore loneliness operates unevenly across gender and age; it is a matrixed legacy rather than a monolithic experience. [IJELS+1](#)



Reading Gurnah alongside trauma studies and postcolonial theory sharpens our understanding of loneliness as a cultural symptom. The psychoanalytic readings of *Gravel Heart* emphasize how unresolved familial traumas, shaped by early childhood violation or neglect, become templates for later relational failure. Postcolonial scholars show how the psychic economy of shame induced by racial hierarchies, language imperialism and cultural erasure produces a habitus of withdrawal. Together, these approaches illuminate loneliness as both an interior psychic state and a social formation: a learned mode of being that is passed down through silence, omission and the practical necessities of survival under structural constraints. In Gurnah's fiction, then, loneliness is neither accidental nor purely subjective; it is historically produced and socially reinforced. [UNAI Journal+1](#)

Gurnah's ethical imagination also offers glimmers of reparative possibility, though never as facile redemption. Acts of small tenderness - a returned letter, an unguarded confession, a repaired relationship - suggest that family bonds can be reconstituted through patient mutual recognition. Yet these moments are fragile precisely because the wounds they respond to are deep and systemic. Importantly, Gurnah does not romanticize reunion; rather, he insists that recovery requires truth-telling, structural change and the political conditions that allow families to remain physically and economically together. The novels thus propose a tempered hope: relational repair is possible, but it requires addressing the material and historical causes of familial rupture. [The New Yorker](#)

If loneliness in Gurnah's fiction functions as legacy, then one of the ethical demands of reading his work is to link literary empathy with historical accountability. Close textual attention to scenes of domestic estrangement reveals how public policies (colonial regimes, wartime recruitment, migration laws) produce private grief. Critics and readers attentive to these links are therefore called to acknowledge the institutional roots of solitude. This acknowledgment reframes loneliness from a problem of individual pathology into a social justice imperative: if loneliness is a product of political violence, then alleviating it requires more than psychotherapy - it requires political redress, reparations in the broad sense and policies that protect family integrity. [Cambridge University Press & Assessment+1](#)

Comparative readings also highlight how Gurnah's representation of loneliness resonates with other postcolonial writers who map the interior consequences of imperial domination. Like Chinua Achebe's



explorations of cultural breakdown or Ngugi wa Thiong'o's analyses of language and alienation, Gurnah situates individual suffering within historical structures. However, Gurnah's specificity - the East African coastal milieu, the interplay of Swahili-Arab-Islamic family cultures and European colonialisms and the experience of migration to the UK - produces a particular grammar of loneliness. His characters' silences and small betrayals are therefore not universal allegories but historically situated instances of how colonialism reconfigures intimate life. Comparative attention deepens our sense of Gurnah's contribution: he expands postcolonial study into the interior household, making the family a site for historical diagnostics. [Wikipedia+1](#)

Methodologically, close readings combined with psychoanalytic and historical lenses are well-suited to the topic because they allow an account that connects text, psyche and polity. Close reading reveals how Gurnah uses mediated objects (letters, photograph, houses) to stage loss; psychoanalytic models elucidate the mechanisms by which trauma is internalized and transmitted; historical work provides the causal frame that explains why such traumas exist in the first place. The triadic method - text, psyche, history - thus supplies a robust explanatory architecture for understanding loneliness as legacy in Gurnah's narratives. It also suggests avenues for future research: for example, archival work on migration records might deepen our knowledge of the material pressures that force family separations in the novels' historical settings. [UNAI Journal+1](#)

There are necessary cautions: reading loneliness as legacy should not flatten the multiplicity of Gurnah's artistic concerns into a single theme. His work is also concerned with oral histories, language, moral ambivalence and the quotidian ethics of care. But the persistence of familial crisis across his novels - the repeated scenes of absence, the patterned silences, the intergenerational miscommunication - argues that loneliness is a central organizing motif that sustains many of his other concerns. Recognizing this motif helps us see how ethical and political imperatives operate at the level of domestic life. [Bloomsbury](#)

To conclude, Abdulrazak Gurnah's fiction offers a sustained meditation on loneliness not as a private failing but as a social inheritance: the lingering echo of colonial wounds enacted across generations. His novels insist that the political and the personal are mutually implicated - colonial histories make family life precarious and the precariousness of family life in turn reproduces histories of loss and shame. The literary significance of Gurnah's work lies in this diagnostic capacity: he reveals how empire's violences become lodged in the most intimate human settings, converting public injustice into private sorrow.



[Cambridge University Press & Assessment+1](#) Addressing this sorrow, the novels suggest, requires both intimate acts of recognition and societal commitments to reparative justice. Only by connecting the household to the archives, the letter to the state, can loneliness be understood and, perhaps, partially healed.

Works Cited

Bosman, S. J. Review of *Afterlives* by Abdulrazak Gurnah. *Taylor & Francis / Journal review*, 2022.

[Taylor & Francis Online](#)

Cambridge University Press. Pujolràs-Noguer, E. “Tracing Lines in the Trauma of Displacement: Slavery in Abdulrazak Gurnah’s *Paradise* and *Afterlives*.” *PMLA / Cambridge Core*, 2023.

[Cambridge University Press & Assessment](#)

Bloomsbury Publishing. “Gravel Heart — Abdulrazak Gurnah” (book page). [Bloomsbury](#)

Bloomsbury Publishing. “Afterlives — Abdulrazak Gurnah” (book page). [Bloomsbury](#)

Financial Times. Review: *Theft* by Abdulrazak Gurnah. (Review discussing family and subtle violences). [Financial Times](#)

New Yorker. “A Nobel Laureate Revisits the Great War’s African Front” (review of *Afterlives*). [The New Yorker](#)

Washington Post. “A Nobel Prize winner offers a compassionate view of the world” (review of *Theft* and reflections on Gurnah’s themes). [The Washington Post](#)

Several peer-reviewed and academic essays on Gurnah’s novels (psychoanalytic and trauma readings):

R. Al Areqih, “A Psychoanalytic Reading of *Gravel Heart*”; research notes on *By the Sea* and *Gravel Heart* (selected journal articles and conference papers). [UNAI Journal+2ResearchGate+2](#)